The University of Mississippi School of Law

National Center for Remote Sensing, Air and Space Law Informational resources on the legal aspects of human activities using aerospace technologies

Harmful Interference: Its Meaning and Prospects of Enhancing Space Security and Safety

P.J. Blount

4th IAASS Conference: Making Safety Matter Huntsville, AL – May 19, 2010 © 2010



Harmful Interference

- Term is being used in security treaties —But it is undefined
- Look to historical antecedents

 Outer Space Treaty Article IX
 ITU's Radio Regulations



... If a State Party to the Treaty has reason to believe that an activity or experiment planned by it or its nationals in outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, would cause potentially harmful interference with activities of other States Parties in the peaceful exploration and use of outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, it shall undertake appropriate international consultations before proceeding with any such activity or experiment. A State Party to the Treaty which has reason to believe that an activity or experiment planned by another State Party in outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, would cause potentially harmful interference with activities in the peaceful exploration and use of outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, may request consultation concerning the activity or experiment.



- Undefined in treaty
- VCLT
 - ---"treaty shall be interpreted in good faith in accordance with the ordinary meaning to be given to the terms of the treaty in their context and in the light of its object and purpose."; and
 - "any subsequent practice in the application of the treaty which establishes the agreement of the parties regarding its interpretation"



- Ordinary meaning Black's Law Dictionary
 - —Harm "Injury, loss, damage; material or tangible detriment."
 - —Interference "An obstruction or hindrance."
- Harmful Interference an obstruction or hindrance that causes a material or tangible detriment.



- Subsequent State Practice
 - -International Incidents as norm Indicators
 - —FY-1C
 - No State relied on Article IX arguments
 - At least one reading can conclude that States prefer a very high threshold for Harmful Interference occurs
 - Intentional creation of space debris does might not cross the threshold



Radio Regulations

- Purpose: to protect States from harmful interference with Radiocommunications
- Interference "The effect of unwanted energy due to one or a combination of emissions, radiations, or inductions upon reception in a radiocommunication system."
- Harmful Interference "Interference which endangers the functioning of a radionavigation service or of other safety services or seriously degrades, obstructs, or repeatedly interrupts a radiocommunication service operating in accordance with these Regulations."



Radio Regulations

- Drawbacks
 - -Narrow in Scope
 - -i.e. doesn't cover FY-1C type event

-States often circumvent

– e.g. Iran satellite jamming



Codes of Conduct

- Stimson Center Model Code
 - —Responsibility of space-faring State "to refrain from harmful interference against space objects."
 - -Undefined within model code



Codes of Conduct

- EU Code of Conduct

 - —Implement law and policy to "to minimise the possibility of accidents in space, collisions between space objects or any form of harmful interference with other States' right to the peaceful exploration and use of outer space"



Is Harmful Interference a Solution?

- States have a natural proclivity to preserving freedom of action; especially in high technology areas
- Adopting stringent definition could increase Outer Space Treaty burden
- EU Code of Conduct provides a foundation from which the term can grow
- However, the term's relationship with Article IX, which States have been somewhat reluctant to utilize, could inhibit its effectiveness





Questions?

Shameless Plug: Res Communis Blog – http://rescommunis.wordpress.com

